

2.04.103 Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration	
Original Policy Date: April 1, 2016	Effective Date: May 1, 2021
Section: 2.0 Medicine	Page: Page 1 of 14

Policy Statement

Genetic testing for macular degeneration is considered **investigational**.

NOTE: Refer to [Appendix A](#) to see the policy statement changes (if any) from the previous version.

Policy Guidelines

Genetics Nomenclature Update

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It was implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society’s nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the Human Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology—“pathogenic,” “likely pathogenic,” “uncertain significance,” “likely benign,” and “benign”—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

Previous	Updated	Definition
Mutation	Disease-associated variant	Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence
	Variant	Change in the DNA sequence
	Familial variant	Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

Variant Classification	Definition
Pathogenic	Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Likely pathogenic	Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Variant of uncertain significance	Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease
Likely benign	Likely benign change in the DNA sequence
Benign	Benign change in the DNA sequence

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology.

Genetic Counseling

Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing; further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

Coding

If testing is specific to particular genes that have been codified and does not involve any risk algorithm, the test can be reported with the tier 2 CPT code(s).

Under code 81401: Molecular Pathology Procedure Level 2

- *APOE (apolipoprotein E)* (e.g., hyperlipoproteinemia type III, cardiovascular disease, Alzheimer disease), common variants (e.g., *2, *3, *4)
- *CFH/ARMS2 (complement factor H/age-related maculopathy susceptibility 2)* (e.g., macular degeneration), common variants (e.g., Y402H [CFH], A69S [ARMS2])

Under code 81405: Molecular Pathology Procedure Level 6

- *HTRA1 (HtrA serine peptidase 1)* (e.g., macular degeneration), full gene sequence

Under code 81408: Molecular Pathology Procedure Level 9

- *ABCA4 (ATP-binding cassette, sub-family A [ABC1], member 4)* (e.g., Stargardt disease, age-related macular degeneration), full gene sequence

If the specific test is not listed in tier 2, the unlisted molecular pathology code 81479 would be reported. If the test involves multiple analytes and an algorithm, the unlisted multianalyte assay with algorithmic analysis (MAAA) code 81599 would be reported.

Effective October 1, 2020, there is a new MAAA test (Vita Risk[®] by Arctic Medical Laboratories) indicated for patients with intermediate dry age related macular degeneration (AMD). DNA is extracted from a cheek swab specimen, and genetic variations are analyzed using a computer algorithm to determine the presence or absence of zinc sensitivity. Per the manufacturer, patients who are Vita Risk positive have an increased risk of progression to neovascular AMD (wet AMD) and should avoid zinc supplementation.

- **0205U:** Ophthalmology (age-related macular degeneration), analysis of 3 gene variants (2 CFH gene, 1 ARMS2 gene), using PCR and MALDI-TOF, buccal swab, reported as positive or negative for neovascular age-related macular-degeneration risk associated with zinc supplements

Description

Age-related macular degeneration is a complex disease involving both genetic and environmental influences. Testing for variants at certain genetic loci has been proposed to predict the risk of developing advanced age-related macular degeneration. Age-related macular degeneration is divided into the dry form, associated with slowly progressive vision loss, and the wet form, which may be associated with rapidly progressive and severe vision loss. The risks of age-related macular degeneration and of developing the wet form are associated with genetic and nongenetic (e.g., age, smoking) factors.

Related Policies

- N/A

Benefit Application

Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

Some state or federal mandates (e.g., Federal Employee Program [FEP]) prohibits plans from denying Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved technologies as investigational. In these instances, plans may have to consider the coverage eligibility of FDA-approved technologies on the basis of medical necessity alone.

Regulatory Status

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

Rationale

Background

Macular degeneration, the leading cause of severe vision loss in people older than age 60 years, occurs when the central portion of the retina (the macula) deteriorates. Because the disease develops as a person ages, it is often referred to as age-related macular degeneration. Age-related macular degeneration has an estimated prevalence of 1 in 2000 in the United States and affects individuals of European descent more frequently than African Americans in the United States.

There are 2 major types of age-related macular degeneration, known as the dry form and the wet form. The dry form is much more common, accounting for 85% to 90% of all cases of age-related macular degeneration, and it is characterized by the buildup of yellow deposits called drusen in the retina and slowly progressive vision loss. The condition typically affects vision in both eyes, although vision loss often occurs in 1 eye before the other. Age-related macular degeneration is generally thought to progress along a continuum from dry age-related macular degeneration to neovascular wet age-related macular degeneration, with approximately 10% to 15% of all age-related macular degeneration patients eventually developing the wet form. Occasionally patients with no prior signs of dry age-related macular degeneration present with wet age-related macular degeneration as the first manifestation of the condition.

The wet form of age-related macular degeneration is characterized by the growth of abnormal blood vessels from the choroid underneath the macula and is associated with severe vision loss that can rapidly worsen. The abnormal vessels leak blood and fluid into the retina, which damages the macula, leading to permanent loss of central vision.

Major risk factors for age-related macular degeneration include older age, cigarette smoking, cardiovascular diseases, nutritional factors, and certain genetic markers. Age appears to be the most important risk factor because the chance of developing the condition increases significantly as a person gets older. Smoking is another established risk factor. Other factors that may increase the risk of age-related macular degeneration include high blood pressure, heart disease, a high-fat diet or a diet low in certain nutrients (e.g., antioxidants, zinc), and obesity.

Clinical Diagnosis

Age-related macular degeneration can be detected by routine eye exams, with 1 of the most common early signs being the presence of drusen or pigment clumping. An Amsler Grid test, a pattern of straight lines that resembles a checkerboard, may also be used. In an individual with age-related macular degeneration, some of the straight lines may appear wavy or missing.

If age-related macular degeneration is suspected, fluorescein angiography and/or optical coherence tomography may be performed. Angiography involves injecting a dye into the bloodstream to identify leaking blood vessels in the macula. Optical coherence tomography captures a cross-sectional image of the macula and aids in identifying fluid beneath the retina and in documenting degrees of retinal thickening.

Treatment

There is currently no cure for macular degeneration, but certain treatments may prevent severe vision loss or slow disease progression. For dry age-related macular degeneration, there is no medical treatment; however, changing certain lifestyle risks may slow age-related macular degeneration onset and progression. The goal for wet (advanced) age-related macular degeneration is early detection and treatment aimed at preventing the formation of new blood vessels or sealing the leakage of fluid from blood vessels that have already formed. Treatment options include laser photocoagulation, photodynamic therapy, surgery, anti-angiogenic drugs, and combination treatments. Anti-angiogenesis drugs block the development of new blood vessels and leakage from the abnormal vessels within the eye that cause wet macular degeneration and may lead to patients regaining lost vision. The Age-Related Eye Disease Study (2001), a large study performed by the National Eye Institute of the National Institutes of Health, showed that, for certain individuals (those with extensive drusen or neovascular age-related macular degeneration in 1 eye), high doses of vitamins C, E, beta-carotene, and zinc may provide a modest protective effect against the progression of age-related macular degeneration.¹

Genetic Testing

It has been reported that genetic variants associated with age-related macular degeneration account for approximately 70% of the risk for the condition.²

More than 25 genes have been reported to influence the risk of developing age-related macular degeneration, discovered initially through family-based linkage studies, and subsequently through large-scale genome-wide association studies. Genes influencing several biologic pathways, including genetic loci associated with the regulation of complement, lipid, angiogenic, and extracellular matrix pathways, have been found to be associated with the onset, progression, and bilateral involvement of early, intermediate, and advanced stages of age-related macular degeneration.³

Loci based on common single nucleotide variants contribute to the greatest risk of age-related macular degeneration:

- The long (q) arm of chromosome 10 in a region known as 10q26 contains 2 genes of interest, *ARMS2* and *HTRA1*. Changes in both genes have been studied as possible risk factors for the disease; however, because the 2 genes are so close together, it is difficult to tell which is associated with age-related macular degeneration risk or whether increased risk results from variations in both genes.
- Common and rare variants in the complement factor *H* (*CFH*) gene.

Other confirmed genes in the complement pathway include *C2*, *C3*, *CFB*, and *CFI*.³

On the basis of large genome-wide association studies, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol pathway genes have been implicated, including *CETP* and *LIPC*, and possibly *LPL* and *ABCA1*.³ The collagen matrix pathway genes *COL10A1* and *COL8A1*, apolipoprotein E (*APOE*), and the extracellular matrix pathway genes, *TIMP3* and *FBN2*, have also been linked to age-related macular degeneration. Genes involved in DNA repair (*RAD51B*) and in the angiogenesis pathway (*VEGFA*) have also been associated with age-related macular degeneration.

Commercially Available Testing for Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Commercially available genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration is aimed at identifying those individuals who are at risk of developing advanced age-related macular degeneration.

Arctic Medical Laboratories offers Macula Risk[®], which uses patient clinical information and the patient's genotype for 15 associated biomarkers in an algorithm to identify whites at high risk for progression of early or intermediate age-related macular degeneration to advanced forms of

age-related macular degeneration. A Vita Risk® report is also provided with vitamin recommendations based on the *CFH* and *ARMS2* genotype.

23andMe includes testing for *CFH*, *ARMS2*, and *C2*.

Literature Review

Evidence reviews assess whether a medical test is clinically useful. A useful test provides information to make a clinical management decision that improves the net health outcome. That is, the balance of benefits and harms is better when the test is used to manage the condition than when another test or no test is used to manage the condition.

The first step in assessing a medical test is to formulate the clinical context and purpose of the test. The test must be technically reliable, clinically valid, and clinically useful for that purpose. Evidence reviews assess the evidence on whether a test is clinically valid and clinically useful. Technical reliability is outside the scope of these reviews, and credible information on technical reliability is available from other sources.

Testing Asymptomatic Individuals with Risk of Developing Age-Related Macular Degeneration Clinical Context and Test Purpose

The purpose of genetic testing in asymptomatic individuals with risk of developing age-related macular degeneration is to identify single nucleotide variants for primary prevention or earlier detection of disease for more timely intervention to affect course of disease progression.

The question addressed in this evidence review is: Does genetic testing improve the net health outcome in asymptomatic individuals with risk of developing age-related macular degeneration?

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is asymptomatic individuals with risk of developing age-related macular degeneration.

Interventions

The test being considered is genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration.

Patients may be referred from primary care to an ophthalmologist or medical geneticist for investigation and management of age-related macular degeneration. Referral for genetic counseling is important for explanation of genetic disease, heritability, genetic risk, test performance, and possible outcomes.

Comparators

The following practice is currently being used to make decisions about the risk of developing age-related macular degeneration: standard clinical management without genetic testing.

Patients may be referred from primary care to an ophthalmologist to investigate risk of age-related macular degeneration.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are improvements in disease status and functional outcomes. Additional outcomes of interest are test accuracy and the initiation and frequency of monitoring for assessing changes in disease status.

Potential harmful outcomes would be those resulting from false-positive or false-negative test results. False-positive test results can lead to clinical management changes that may not be beneficial. False-negative test results can lead to absence of clinical management changes.

Study Selection Criteria

For the evaluation of clinical validity of genetic testing for macular degeneration, studies that meet the following eligibility criteria were considered:

- Reported on the accuracy of the marketed version of the technology (including any algorithms used to calculate scores)
- Included a suitable reference standard.
- Patient/sample clinical characteristics were described
- Patient/sample selection criteria were described.

Clinically Valid

A test must detect the presence or absence of a condition, the risk of developing a condition in the future, or treatment response (beneficial or adverse).

Review of Evidence

Current models for predicting age-related macular degeneration risk include various combinations of epidemiologic, clinical, and genetic factors, and give areas under the curve of approximately 0.8.^{4,5} By plotting the true- and false-positives of a test, an area under the curve measures the discriminative ability of the test, with a perfect test giving an area under the curve of 1.⁶

An analysis by Seddon et al (2009) demonstrated that a clinical model of age-related macular degeneration risk, which included age, sex, education, baseline age-related macular degeneration grade, smoking, and body mass index, had an area under the curve of 0.757.⁷ The addition of the genetic factors (single nucleotide variants) in *CFH*, *ARMS2*, *C2*, *C3*, and *CFB* increased the area under the curve to 0.821. In a later report, Seddon et al (2015) included 10 common and rare genetic variants in their risk-prediction model, resulting in an area under the curve of 0.911 for progression to advanced age-related macular degeneration.⁸ The Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) Simple Scale, which rates the severity of age-related macular degeneration based on the presence of large drusen and pigment changes to predict the rate of advanced age-related macular degeneration, is considered to have the greatest predictive value.^{4,9} Klein et al (2011) constructed a predictive model that included age, family history, smoking, the AREDS Simple Scale score, presence of very large drusen, presence of advanced age-related macular degeneration in 1 eye, and genetic factors (*CFH*, *ARMS2*). The area under the curve was 0.865 without genetic factors included and 0.872 with genetic factors included.⁹

Although these risk models suggest some small incremental increase in the ability to assess risk of developing advanced age-related macular degeneration based on genetic factors, the clinical validity is not established.

Clinically Useful

A test is clinically useful if the use of the results informs management decisions that improve the net health outcome of care. The net health outcome can be improved if patients receive correct therapy, or more effective therapy, or avoid unnecessary therapy, or avoid unnecessary testing.

Review of Evidence

What can be done for an individual whose genetic test indicates that he or she is at high risk for vision loss from age-related macular degeneration? The possible clinical utility of genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration can be divided into disease prevention, disease monitoring, and therapy guidance, as discussed below.

- Prevention: Genetic testing and risk prediction for age-related macular degeneration would have clinical utility if a preventive therapy involved an intervention that went beyond good health practices (e.g., no smoking, balanced diet, exercise, nutrient supplements). If a preventive therapy existed, the optimal risk-benefit point along the

age-related macular degeneration risk profile for every given age would need to be established so that it could be determined which individuals should receive those treatments and at what age to start the intervention. Currently, no preventive measures are available; high-dose antioxidants and zinc supplements have been shown to reduce disease progression.¹

- **Monitoring:** If a patient is identified as high-risk, changes in the frequency of monitoring may occur and could include home monitoring devices or the use of technology such as preferential hyperacuity perimetry to detect early or subclinical wet age-related macular degeneration. However, the impact of more frequent monitoring for high-risk patients is not known.⁴
- **Direction of therapy:** No consistent associations between response to vitamin supplements and genetic variants have been established.^{10,11,12,13,14}

Direct Evidence

Direct evidence of clinical utility is provided by studies that have compared health outcomes for patients managed with and without the test. Because these are intervention studies, the preferred evidence would be from randomized controlled trials (RCTs). No such trials were identified.

Chain of Evidence

Indirect evidence on clinical utility rests on clinical validity. If the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate test performance, no inferences can be made about clinical utility. A chain of evidence cannot be constructed.

Section Summary: Asymptomatic Individuals with Risk of Developing Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Evidence from studies has indicated that the clinical sensitivity of genetic testing for genes associated with age-related macular degeneration may have small incremental effects on assessing risk of developing age-related macular degeneration. Risk-prediction models incorporate factors such as age, sex, smoking, body mass index, and genetic factors. The true clinical specificity of genetic variants in age-related macular degeneration-related genes is uncertain because of the multifactorial nature of disease development and progression.

Direct evidence of the clinical utility of genetic testing in asymptomatic individuals at risk for developing age-related macular degeneration is lacking. While genetic variants have been used in risk-prediction models, no consistent associations between specific genetic variants and response to specific treatments have been established.

Testing Individuals with Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Clinical Context and Test Purpose

The purpose of genetic testing in individuals with age-related macular degeneration is to identify single nucleotide variants that potentially predict response to treatment.

The question addressed in this evidence review is: Does genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration improve the net health outcome in individuals with age-related macular degeneration?

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is symptomatic individuals with age-related macular degeneration.

Interventions

The test being considered is genetic testing to determine prognosis or predict response to therapy.

Patients may be referred from primary care to an ophthalmologist or medical geneticist for investigation and management of age-related macular degeneration. Referral for genetic counseling is important for explanation of genetic disease, heritability, genetic risk, test performance, and possible outcomes.

Comparators

The following practice is currently being used to make decisions about managing age-related macular degeneration: standard clinical management without genetic testing.

Patients may be referred from primary care to an ophthalmologist for investigation and management of age-related macular degeneration.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are improvements in disease status and functional outcomes. Additional outcomes of interest are test accuracy and the initiation and frequency of monitoring for assessing changes in disease status and effects of management decisions on short-term and long-term functional outcomes.

Potential harmful outcomes are those resulting from false-positive or false-negative test results. False-positive test results can lead to clinical management changes that may not be beneficial. False-negative test results can lead to absence of clinical management changes.

Study Selection Criteria

For the evaluation of clinical validity of the genetic test for macular degeneration, studies that meet the following eligibility criteria were considered:

- Reported on the accuracy of the marketed version of the technology (including any algorithms used to calculate scores)
- Included a suitable reference standard
- Patient/sample clinical characteristics were described
- Patient/sample selection criteria were described.

Clinically Valid

A test must detect the presence or absence of a condition, the risk of developing a condition in the future, or treatment response (beneficial or adverse).

Review of Evidence

Clinical validity is how the presence of specific single nucleotide variants provides accurate prognosis for disease course and predicts response to treatment. Evidence supporting the clinical validity of accurate disease prognosis and response to treatment was not identified.

Clinically Useful

A test is clinically useful if the use of the results informs management decisions that improve the net health outcome of care. The net health outcome can be improved if patients receive correct therapy, or more effective therapy, or avoid unnecessary therapy, or avoid unnecessary testing.

Review of Evidence

What can be done for an individual with age-related macular degeneration using genetic test results for prognosis and prediction of response to treatment? The possible clinical utility of genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration includes disease monitoring and therapy guidance, as discussed below.

- **Monitoring:** There is currently no cure for macular degeneration, but genetic variants may provide more accurate prognosis on disease progression. Frequency of monitoring may be increased if a genetic variant is associated with a more rapid or severe disease course.

- Direction of therapy: No consistent associations between response to vitamin supplements or anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy and VEGF gene variants have been established.[10,11,12,13,14,15.](#)

Direct Evidence

Direct evidence of clinical utility is provided by studies that have compared health outcomes for patients managed with and without the test. Because these are intervention studies, the preferred evidence would be from RCTs. No such trials were identified.

Chain of Evidence

Indirect evidence on clinical utility rests on clinical validity. If the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate test performance, no inferences can be made about clinical utility. A chain of evidence cannot be constructed.

Section Summary: Individuals with Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Direct evidence of the clinical utility of genetic testing in individuals with age-related macular degeneration is lacking. While genetic variants have been used in risk-prediction models, there have been no consistent associations between specific genetic variants and response to treatments.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who are asymptomatic with risk of developing age-related macular degeneration who receive genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration, the evidence includes genetic association studies and risk-prediction models. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The clinical validity of genetic testing appears to provide a small, incremental benefit to risk stratification based on nongenetic risk factors. The clinical utility of genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration is limited, in that there are currently no preventive measures that can be undertaken. No studies have shown improvements in health outcomes in patients identified as being at high-risk based on genetic testing. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have age-related macular degeneration who receive genetic testing for age-related macular degeneration, the evidence includes genetic association studies and risk-prediction models. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The clinical utility of genetic testing in patients who have age-related macular degeneration is limited, in that genetic testing has not been shown to be superior to clinical evaluation in determining the risk of progression of disease. In addition, there is no known association with specific genotypes and specific therapies. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the evidence review conclusions.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Academy of Ophthalmology

The 2014 American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) recommendations specific to genetic testing for complex eye disorders like age-related macular degeneration has indicated that the presence of any 1 of the disease-associated variants is not highly predictive of disease

development.¹⁶ The AAO found that, in many cases, standard clinical diagnostic methods like biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, tonography, and perimetry would be more accurate for assessing a patient's risk of vision loss from a complex disease than the assessment of a small number of genetic loci. The AAO concluded that genetic testing for complex diseases will become relevant to the routine practice of medicine when clinical trials demonstrate that patients with specific genotypes benefit from specific types of therapy or surveillance; until such benefit can be demonstrated, routine genetic testing of patients with complex eye diseases, or unaffected patients with a family history of such diseases, is not warranted.

In 2019, AAO published a Preferred Practice Pattern on age-related macular degeneration, which noted that the routine use of genetic testing is not recommended at this time due to lack of prospective clinical evidence.¹⁷

American Society of Retina Specialists

In 2017, the American Society of Retina Specialists published special correspondence on the use of genetic testing in the management of patients with age-related macular degeneration.¹⁸ The Society concluded that:

- While age-related macular degeneration genetic testing may provide information on progression from intermediate to advanced age-related macular degeneration, there is no clinical evidence that altering management of patients with genetically higher risk for progression results in better visual outcomes compared with patients with genetically lower risk for progression.
- Age-related macular degeneration genetic testing in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration does not provide clinically relevant information regarding response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor treatment and is therefore not recommended for this population.
- Currently, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of genetic testing in patients with age-related macular degeneration in regard to nutritional supplement recommendations.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
<i>Ongoing</i>			
NCT03024424	Value of Genetic Counseling and Testing for Patients Who Would Like to Know More About Their Personal Risk of age-related macular degeneration	200	July 2024
<i>Unpublished</i>			
NCT02762188	Genetic Biomarkers for the Response to Anti-VEGF (Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor). Treatment in Wet Age-Related Macular Degeneration (Wet ARMD)	501	Jul 2017
NCT01213667	Genetics in Non-Response to Anti-VEGF Treatment in Exudative age-related macular degeneration (RESPONSE)	110	Dec 2017
NCT01310686 ^a	Genetics Study of Wet Age-Related Macular Degeneration (age-related macular degeneration) Non-Responders to Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) Therapy	40	Jun 2019

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT01115387	GARM II: A Study on the Genetics of Age-related Maculopathy	603	Aug 2020

NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

1. Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. A randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E, beta carotene, and zinc for age-related macular degeneration and vision loss: AREDS report no. 8. *Arch Ophthalmol.* Oct 2001; 119(10): 1417-36. PMID 11594942
2. Gorin MB. Genetic insights into age-related macular degeneration: controversies addressing risk, causality, and therapeutics. *Mol Aspects Med.* Aug 2012; 33(4): 467-86. PMID 22561651
3. Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, et al. Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet.* May 05 2012; 379(9827): 1728-38. PMID 22559899
4. Kim IK. Genetic testing for AMD inches forward. 2012; <https://www.reviewofophthalmology.com/article/genetic-testing-for-amd-inches-forward>. Accessed January 21, 2021.
5. Hageman GS, Gehrs K, Lejnine S, et al. Clinical validation of a genetic model to estimate the risk of developing choroidal neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Hum Genomics.* Jul 2011; 5(5): 420-40. PMID 21807600
6. Jakobsdottir J, Gorin MB, Conley YP, et al. Interpretation of genetic association studies: markers with replicated highly significant odds ratios may be poor classifiers. *PLoS Genet.* Feb 2009; 5(2): e1000337. PMID 19197355
7. Seddon JM, Reynolds R, Maller J, et al. Prediction model for prevalence and incidence of advanced age-related macular degeneration based on genetic, demographic, and environmental variables. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* May 2009; 50(5): 2044-53. PMID 19117936
8. Seddon JM, Silver RE, Kwong M, et al. Risk Prediction for Progression of Macular Degeneration: 10 Common and Rare Genetic Variants, Demographic, Environmental, and Macular Covariates. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* Apr 2015; 56(4): 2192-202. PMID 25655794
9. Klein ML, Francis PJ, Ferris FL, et al. Risk assessment model for development of advanced age-related macular degeneration. *Arch Ophthalmol.* Dec 2011; 129(12): 1543-50. PMID 21825180
10. Fauser S, Lambrou GN. Genetic predictive biomarkers of anti-VEGF treatment response in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Surv Ophthalmol.* Mar-Apr 2015; 60(2): 138-52. PMID 25596882
11. Chew EY, Klein ML, Clemons TE, et al. No clinically significant association between CFH and ARMS2 genotypes and response to nutritional supplements: AREDS report number 38. *Ophthalmology.* Nov 2014; 121(11): 2173-80. PMID 24974817
12. Hagstrom SA, Ying GS, Maguire MG, et al. VEGFR2 Gene Polymorphisms and Response to Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Therapy in Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Ophthalmology.* Aug 2015; 122(8): 1563-8. PMID 26028346
13. Hagstrom SA, Ying GS, Pauer GJ, et al. VEGFA and VEGFR2 gene polymorphisms and response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy: comparison of age-related macular degeneration treatments trials (CATT). *JAMA Ophthalmol.* May 2014; 132(5): 521-7. PMID 24652518
14. Awh CC, Lane AM, Hawken S, et al. CFH and ARMS2 genetic polymorphisms predict response to antioxidants and zinc in patients with age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology.* Nov 2013; 120(11): 2317-23. PMID 23972322

15. Balikova I, Postelmans L, Pasteels B, et al. Genetic biomarkers in the VEGF pathway predicting response to anti-VEGF therapy in age-related macular degeneration. *BMJ Open Ophthalmol*. 2019; 4(1): e000273. PMID 31909188
16. Stone EM, Aldave AJ, Drack AV, et al. Recommendations of the American Academy of Ophthalmology Task Force on Genetic Testing. 2014; <https://www.aao.org/clinical-statement/recommendations-genetic-testing-of-inherited-eye-d>. Accessed January 15, 2021.
17. Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, Vemulakonda GA, et al; American Academy of Ophthalmology Preferred Practice Pattern (PPP) Retina/Vitreous Committee. Age-Related Macular Degeneration PPP. 2019; <https://www.aao.org/preferred-practice-pattern/age-related-macular-degeneration-ppp>. Accessed January 15, 2021.
18. Csaky KG SA, Kaiser PK, et al. The Use of Genetic Testing in the Management of Patients with Age-Related Macular Degeneration: American Society of Retina Specialists Genetics Task Force Special Report. 2017; <https://www.asrs.org/content/documents/articlearstaskforcereportjvrd117.pdf>. Accessed January 15, 2021.
19. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. Medical Policy Reference Manual, No. 2.04.103 (March 2021).

Documentation for Clinical Review

- No records required

Coding

This Policy relates only to the services or supplies described herein. Benefits may vary according to product design; therefore, contract language should be reviewed before applying the terms of the Policy.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Policy Statements are intended to provide member coverage information and may include the use of some codes for clarity. The Policy Guidelines section may also provide additional information for how to interpret the Policy Statements and to provide coding guidance in some cases.

Type	Code	Description
CPT®	0205U	Ophthalmology (age-related macular degeneration), analysis of 3 gene variants (2 CFH gene, 1 ARMS2 gene), using PCR and MALDI-TOF, buccal swab, reported as positive or negative for neovascular age-related macular-degeneration risk associated with zinc supplements (Code effective 10/1/2020)
	81401	MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY PROCEDURE LEVEL 2
	81405	MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY PROCEDURE LEVEL 6
	81408	MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY PROCEDURE LEVEL 9
	81479	Unlisted molecular pathology procedure
	81599	Unlisted multianalyte assay with algorithmic analysis
HCPCS	None	

Policy History

This section provides a chronological history of the activities, updates and changes that have occurred with this Medical Policy.

Effective Date	Action
04/01/2016	BCBSA Medical Policy adoption
05/01/2017	Policy revision without position change
05/01/2018	Policy revision without position change
05/01/2019	Policy revision without position change
05/01/2020	Annual review. No change to policy statement. Literature review updated.
12/01/2020	Coding update.
05/01/2021	Annual review. No change to policy statement. Literature review updated.

Definitions of Decision Determinations

Medically Necessary: Services that are Medically Necessary include only those which have been established as safe and effective, are furnished under generally accepted professional standards to treat illness, injury or medical condition, and which, as determined by Blue Shield, are: (a) consistent with Blue Shield medical policy; (b) consistent with the symptoms or diagnosis; (c) not furnished primarily for the convenience of the patient, the attending Physician or other provider; (d) furnished at the most appropriate level which can be provided safely and effectively to the patient; and (e) not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of the Member's illness, injury, or disease.

Investigational/Experimental: A treatment, procedure, or drug is investigational when it has not been recognized as safe and effective for use in treating the particular condition in accordance with generally accepted professional medical standards. This includes services where approval by the federal or state governmental is required prior to use, but has not yet been granted.

Split Evaluation: Blue Shield of California/Blue Shield of California Life & Health Insurance Company (Blue Shield) policy review can result in a split evaluation, where a treatment, procedure, or drug will be considered to be investigational for certain indications or conditions, but will be deemed safe and effective for other indications or conditions, and therefore potentially medically necessary in those instances.

Prior Authorization Requirements (as applicable to your plan)

Within five days before the actual date of service, the provider must confirm with Blue Shield that the member's health plan coverage is still in effect. Blue Shield reserves the right to revoke an authorization prior to services being rendered based on cancellation of the member's eligibility. Final determination of benefits will be made after review of the claim for limitations or exclusions.

Questions regarding the applicability of this policy should be directed to the Prior Authorization Department at (800) 541-6652, or the Transplant Case Management Department at (800) 637-2066 ext. 3507708 or visit the provider portal at www.blueshieldca.com/provider.

Disclaimer: This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. Blue Shield of California may consider published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines, and local standards of practice in developing its medical policy. Federal and state law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over medical policy and must be considered first in determining covered services. Member contracts may differ in their benefits. Blue Shield reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate.

Appendix A

POLICY STATEMENT

(No changes)

BEFORE	AFTER
<p>Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration 2.04.103</p> <p>Policy Statement: Genetic testing for macular degeneration is considered investigational.</p>	<p>Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration 2.04.103</p> <p>Policy Statement: Genetic testing for macular degeneration is considered investigational.</p>